

# Amendments of the General Assembly

## Committee 1

### Postulation 3

I propose an amendment on Postulation 3 regarding the experts sent to the private households. I demand that the visit would no longer be obligatory by using a worldwide “voluntary” or “optionally” (*Committee 4*)

## Committee 2

### Postulation 3

In the postulation they talk about about reducing the energy used by street light by installing solar panels That’s a huge contradiction because with more energy produced, you can’t reduce the energy used by street lights (*Committee 4*)

“In streets that are used more often...natural brightness” delete this! It’s useless; E used for production of electronic equipment never gets saved by the system. (*Committee 1*)

Erase the sentence: when vehicles pass by (light comes from the cars!) there’s no need for this in rarely used streets. (*Committee 3*)

## Committee 3

### Postulation 1

I think regulation carbon footprint has to be included in the carbon footprint calculation so a more real number can be given. E.g. A good can have low production and transport footprint but high regulation footprint, which cannot be seen if system is not improved. (*Committee 4*)

## Committee 4

### Postulation 1

This information week should also apply to adults. Indeed they grew-up with less information about energy saving then use did. Therefore there should also be week long programs for them. Since adults have to work maybe it should take place in the evening. It is furthermore very important for them to take part in such programs because, that way it is every one in a family who becomes “energy concerned” (*Committee 1*)



## Postulation 4

Scratch: use of solar panels and biomass

solar panels are inefficient, biomass is non-eco-friendly (*Committee 1*)

Cross out “new” Z:1^

cross out ”to be built” Z:1 (*Committee 3*)

Vaduz, 8th March 2012